Two- and Four-Hour Tests Differ in Capture of C-Peptide Responses to a Mixed Meal in Type 1 Diabetes
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Mixed-meal tolerance tests (MMTTs) are used in clinical trials to evaluate β-cell function in patients with new-onset type 1 diabetes (1,2). Some trials use a 4-h MMTT, whereas others use an abbreviated (2-h) protocol to reduce investigator and subject burden. In the T1DAL (Inducing Remission in Type 1 Diabetes With Alefacept) trial of patients with new-onset type 1 diabetes, the primary analysis using the 2-h test failed to reach statistical significance (P = 0.065), but a 4-h test did (P = 0.019) (3). We investigated the effect of abbreviating the test using data from 186 patients participating in three clinical trials conducted by the Immune Tolerance Network (3–5). Trials were approved by institutional review boards at the participating institutions. Written informed consent or assent was obtained. Each patient contributed up to three 4-h MMTTs, conducted yearly, for a total of 506 paired 2- and 4-h observations. For this analysis, the 4-h assessment, which captures more of the complete hormonal response, was selected as the reference. The percent of the total 4-h C-peptide area under the curve (AUC) captured in the first 2 h ranged from 28% to 72%. Mean AUCs (mAUCs) were computed as 2- or 4-h AUCs divided by duration, 120 or 240 min, respectively. The correlation between the 2- and 4-h mAUCs was 0.98. Generally, the variability of the 2-h test was greater than that of the 4-h test (Fig. 1A). After adjusting for baseline, however, the variability was similar.

The standardized difference (Sdiff), the “distance” between the 2- and 4-h mAUCs measured in SD units, was used to evaluate associated factors. Both positive and negative differences exceeding 1 SD were observed for peak C-peptide values ≥0.6 pmol/mL (Fig. 1B). For peak values exceeding 1.6 pmol/mL, however, the 2-h mAUC generally overestimated the 4-h mAUC. Moreover, the 2-h mAUC generally overestimated the 4-h assessment when the time to peak was <120 min and vice versa for times >120 min (Fig. 1C).

As C-peptide levels may be associated with time, age, and treatment, we evaluated their impact on Sdiff. For low baseline Sdiffs, Sdiffs tended to increase over time and vice versa for high baseline values. For some individuals, however, Sdiffs were highly variable over time (Fig. 1D). Among untreated subjects, the distribution of Sdiffs among adults was shifted downward compared with pediatric subjects, who also had some extremely high values (Fig. 1E). At month 12, 56% of observations from the drug-treated subjects in the AbATE (Autoimmunity-Blocking Antibody for Tolerance in Recently Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes) study had a positive difference between 2-h and 4-h mAUCs compared with 27% of observations for control subjects; the treatment effect was underestimated using the 2-h mAUC (Fig. 1F). In START (Study of Thymoglobulin to Arrest Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes), the treatment effect was underestimated with a 2-h test (data not shown).

Our findings may have important implications for designing studies. Because the impact of the abbreviated test is differential over time and by age and treatment groups, estimates and significance tests for 2- and 4-h assessments may be inconsistent. The variability of the C-peptide mAUCs may also affect sample size needs. Consideration of the methods used to measure C-peptide levels needs. Consideration of the
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Figure 1—Analysis of 2- and 4-h C-peptide AUCs during MMTTs. The C-peptide AUC was computed for 2 and 4 h at baseline and at months 12 and 24 using the trapezoidal rule; mAUCs were computed by dividing AUCs by the duration of the test, 120 or 240 min, as indicated. Sdiff equals the 2-h mAUC minus the 4-h mAUC in SD units. Within each trial, the values below the lower limit of detection were either assigned a value of one-half of the lower limit of detection (START and T1DAL) or 0 (AbATE). Only available data were used in these analyses; missing MMTTs were not imputed. A: 2- to 4-h C-peptide mAUC estimates. Data from all control subjects over 24 months are shown and from drug-treated subjects at month 12. Root mean square error (RMSE) was derived from ANCOVA models controlling for baseline mAUC. Six subjects without baseline data were excluded. For active treatment groups at baseline and month 24, SDs for 2-h tests were greater than for 4-h tests (data not shown) except for T1DAL at month 24 where SDs were 0.51 and 0.52 for 2-h and 4-h tests, respectively. B: Sdiff by peak C-peptide value. The peak C-peptide value is the observed measurement with the highest value. The solid line shows the average trend. C: Sdiff by time at peak C-peptide point. The top and bottom of each box represent the...
responses is important in clinical trial design.

**Funding.** These analyses were performed by Rho, the statistical and clinical coordinating center for the Immune Tolerance Network trials and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Division of Allergy, Immunology, and Transplantation (HHSN272200800029C and 1UM2-AI-117870). The three clinical trials from which data were used were conducted by the Immune Tolerance Network and sponsored by the NIAID under award numbers NO1-AI-15416 and UM1-AI-109565. Additional funding for the trials was provided by the JDRF and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK). This publication was supported by NIH National Center for Research Resources University of California, San Francisco, Clinical & Translational Science Institute grant UL1-TR-000004. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. **Author Contributions.** All authors contributed to the analyses or discussion used in this letter. K.D.B., L.K.-E., L.J.W., and K.L.M. performed the analyses. K.D.B. and K.C.H. wrote the letter. K.D.B., L.K.-E., M.R.E., J.M., M.R.R., S.E.G., L.J.W., K.L.M., and K.C.H. contributed to discussion and reviewed and edited the letter. K.D.B. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

**Prior Presentation.** This work was presented at the 14th International Congress of the Immunology of Diabetes Society, Munich, Germany, 12–16 April 2015, and at the 75th Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, Boston, MA, 5–9 June 2015.

**References**

1. Greenbaum CJ, Beam CA, Boulware D, et al.; Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet Study Group. Fall in C-peptide during first 2 years from diagnosis: evidence of at least two distinct phases from composite Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet data. Diabetes 2012;61:2066–2073

---

**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1:** Distribution of Sdiffs over time for individuals by study. Lines connect Sdiffs over time for individuals. Line styles reflect baseline Sdiffs in tertiles. Six subjects without baseline data were excluded. Solid light gray lines represent the lower tertile (values \(<-0.34\)). Hashed dark gray lines represent the upper tertile (values \(>0.22\)). Black dotted lines represent the middle tertile. 

**Figure 2:** Sdiffs for untreated pediatric and adult subjects by visit. Box plots for pediatric and adult subjects are black and gray, respectively. See C for a description of box plots. Black triangles represent mean values.

**Figure 3:** Sdiff at month 12 in the AbATE study. The distribution of Sdiffs was shifted to the right for treated subjects [top] indicating that the 2-h mAUC overestimated the 4-h mAUC. The distribution of Sdiffs was shifted to the left for placebo subjects [bottom] indicating that the 2-h mAUC underestimated the 4-h mAUC. Thus these changes suggest that the C-peptide responses changed differently in the drug and placebo subjects over time and that the precision of the 2-h test differed for the two groups. The opposite effect was seen in the START study (data not shown).